## **CLAIM AMENDMENTS**

## **Claim Amendment Summary**

## Claims pending

• Before this Amendment: Claims 22-42.

• After this Amendment: Claims 22-42

Non-Elected, Canceled, or Withdrawn claims: 1-21

Amended claims: 22, 29 and 36

New claims: none

## **Claims:**

1-21. Cancelled.



(Currently Amended) A method comprising: 22.

configuring a single computer with a single user interface display to

be concurrently and physically shared by multiple users by executing a

plurality of concurrent switchable remote process enabled workspace

environments within the single computer, comprising:

presenting a logon user interface to each user physically seeking to

use the single computer; and

within the single computer:

initiating a separate remote process thread for each user who is

authenticated by the logon user interface;

initiating a separate remote process associated with each remote

process thread for the concurrent switchable remote process enabled

workspace environments;

displaying on the single user interface display of the single computer

only one of the remote process enabled workspace environments as active

at a time; and

maintaining a list of the remote process threads to support switching from

a first remote process to a second remote process.

(**Original**) The method as recited in Claim 22, further comprising:

establishing a separate user environment associated with each remote

process.

Serial No.: 10/606,591 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0492USC1

Atty/Agent: E. John Fain

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

IEE & haves The Business of IP™ www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

**24. (Original)** The method as recited in Claim 22, further comprising: launching a separate user shell associated with each remote process.

**25. (Previously Presented)** The method as recited in Claim 22, further comprising:

selectively switching from a first one of the multiple remote processes to another of the multiple remote processes without terminating the remote process thread associated with the first one of the multiple remote processes.

**26. (Previously Presented)** The method as recited in Claim 22, further comprising:

automatically switching from a first one of the multiple remote processes to another of the multiple remote processes without terminating the remote process thread associated with the first one of the multiple remote processes; and

launching a separate user shell associated with each remote process.

**27.** (**Previously Presented**) The method as recited in claim 26, wherein the automatically switching from the first one of the multiple remote processes to the another of the multiple remote processes occurs following a defined period of user inactivity.

lee haves The Business of IP TA

www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

**28. (Previously Presented)** The method as recited in Claim 22, further comprising:

selectively removing the remote process thread from the list of remote process threads when the user logs off.

**29.** (**Currently Amended**) A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for causing at least one processor to perform steps comprising:

configuring a single computer <u>with a single user interface display</u> to be concurrently and physically shared by multiple users by executing a plurality of concurrent switchable remote process enabled workspace environments within the single computer, comprising:

presenting a logon user interface to each user physically seeking to use the single computer; and

within the single computer:

initiating a separate remote process thread for each user that is authenticated by the logon user interface;

initiating a separate remote process associated with each remote process thread for the concurrent switchable remote process enabled workspace environments;

displaying on the <u>single user interface display of the</u> single computer only one of the remote process enabled workspace environments as active at a time; and

maintaining a list of the remote process threads to support switching from a first remote process to a second remote process.

lee⊗hayes The Business of IP™

30. (Original) The computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 29, having further computer-executable instructions for performing the step of:

establishing a separate user environment associated with each remote process.

31. (**Original**) The computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 29.

having further computer-executable instructions for performing the step of:

launching a separate user shell associated with each remote process.

(Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium as 32.

recited in Claim 29, having further computer-executable instructions for

performing the step of:

selectively switching from a first one of the multiple remote processes to

another of the multiple remote processes without terminating the remote

process thread associated with the first one of the multiple remote processes.

(Previously Presented) 33. The computer-readable medium as

recited in Claim 29, having further computer-executable instructions for

performing the step of:

automatically switching from a first one of the multiple remote processes

to another of the multiple remote processes without terminating the remote

process thread associated with the first one of the multiple remote

processes; and

launching a separate user shell associated with each remote process.

8

www.leehayes.com 509.324,9256-

**34.** (**Previously Presented**) The computer-readable medium as recited in claim 33, wherein the automatically switching from the first one of the multiple remote processes to the another of the multiple remote processes occurs following a defined period of user inactivity.

**35. (Previously Presented)** The computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 29, having further computer-executable instructions for performing the step of:

selectively removing the remote process thread from the list of remote process threads when the user logs off.

**36.** (Currently Amended) An arrangement comprising:

a single computer capable of being concurrently and physically shared by

multiple users by executing a plurality of concurrent switchable remote process

enabled workspace environments within the single computer, the single

computer comprising:

a single user interface display;

memory having at least a portion of an operating system stored therein;

and

at least one processor operatively coupled to the memory and responsive

to the operating system to present a logon user interface to each one of the

multiple users physically seeking to use the computer, create a separate remote

process thread within the computer for each one of the multiple users that is

authenticated through the logon user interface, create a separate remote

process associated with each remote process thread, display only one of the

remote process enabled workspace environments as active at a time,[;] and

maintain a list of the remote process threads to support switching from a first

one of the multiple remote process enabled workspace environments to another

of the multiple remote process enabled workspace environments.

**37.** (Original) The arrangement as recited in Claim 36, wherein the

processor is further responsive to the operating system by establishing a

separate user environment associated with each remote process.

Serial No.: 10/606,591 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0492USC1

Atty/Agent: E. John Fain Response to Final Office Action lee&hayes The Business of IP \*\*

www.leehayes.com 509.324,9258

10

**38. (Original)** The arrangement as recited in Claim 36, wherein the processor is further responsive to the operating system by launching a separate user shell associated with each remote process.

**39.** (**Previously Presented**) The arrangement as recited in Claim 36, wherein the processor is further responsive to the operating system by selectively switching from the first one of the multiple remote processes to

another of the multiple remote processes without terminating the remote process

thread associated with the first one of the multiple remote processes.

**40.** (Previously Presented) The arrangement as recited in Claim

36, wherein the processor is further responsive to the operating system by:

automatically switching from the first one of the multiple remote processes to another of the multiple remote processes without terminating the remote process thread associated with the first one of the multiple remote processes;

launching a separate user shell associated with each remote process.

**41. (Previously Presented)** The arrangement as recited in claim

40, wherein the automatically switching from the first one of the multiple remote

processes to another of the multiple remote processes occurs following a defined

period of user inactivity.

and

lee@hayes The Business of IP \*\*

www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

**42. (Previously Presented)** The arrangement as recited in Claim 36, wherein the processor is further responsive to the operating system by selectively removing the remote process thread from the list of the remote process threads when the user logs off.

12

Serial No.: 10/606,591 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0492USC1 Atty/Agent: E. John Fain RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

